

**"A method and system for decoding variable length encoded signals, computer program product therefor"**

Field of the invention

5       The present invention relates to data compression, and, specifically, to computer-implemented processes and apparatus for decoding data sequences compressed using variable-length-encoding (VLE). Exemplary of such sequences are e.g. MPEG audio and video signals.

10       The effective use of digital multimedia signals is strictly related to the efficiency of the transport channel and storage requirements for the corresponding data. Both these objectives are substantially fulfilled by coding the signals using compression techniques to  
15 achieve higher transmission efficiency and reduced storage space. Combinations of transform coding and entropy coding techniques are known to provide relatively high code compression for high quality video and audio signals transmission.

20       For a general review of these topics reference can be made e.g. to ISO/IEC 13818-7, "Information Technology - Generic Coding of Moving Pictures and Associated Audio, Part 7: Advanced Audio Coding", 1997.  
Description of the related art

25       The classic reference for VLE is the article by D. A. Huffman, "A Method for the Construction of Minimum-Redundancy Codes," Proc. IRE, pp. 1098-1101, 1952, which paved the way to the extensive use of Huffman coding in data and multimedia compression.

30       Essentially, VLE algorithms use a table of the frequencies of occurrence of each possible input symbol to build up an optimal way of representing each symbol as a variable-length binary string, called a codeword, with a unique prefix. In an optimum code, symbols that  
35 occur more frequently (i.e. have a higher probability

of occurrence) are allotted shorter codewords in comparison with symbols that occur less frequently.

The simplest data structure used in a VLE decoding scheme is a binary code tree where each leaf represents a symbol and the path from the root to the leaf defines the variable length code for that symbol. A more detailed explanation of this concept is provided e.g. in: Khalid Sayood, "Introduction to Data Compression - SECOND EDITION," Morgan Kaufmann Publisher, San Francisco, California, 2000.

Decoding is performed by starting at the root node of the code tree, and by recursively traversing the tree according to the bits from the compressed input data stream, i.e. going to the left child for a 0 and going right for a 1, until a leaf is reached which indicates that a certain symbol has been fully decoded. Traditionally, tree data structures used to represent and decode VLE codes have a memory requirement of  $O(2^h)$  and a computational complexity of  $O(h)$ ,  $h$  being the height of the Huffman tree.

The binary tree becomes progressively sparse as it grows from the root, and this sparsity in the Huffman tree may cause waste of memory space. Additionally, such sparsity may also result in a lengthy search procedure for locating a symbol.

In recent literature a number of schemes have been proposed to represent VLE codes such that memory requirement and decoding complexity is reduced to  $O(n)$  and  $O(\log n)$ , respectively. The symbol  $n$  denotes the total number of codewords/leaves in the Huffman tree and for sparse trees  $n$  is quite small compared to  $2^h$ .

In the work by K. -L. Chung, "Efficient Huffman decoding", Information Processing Letters, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 97-99, January 1997 a data structure is described requiring a memory size of only  $2n-3$  to

represent the Huffman tree, with a resulting complexity that depends on the traversed path in the tree and that takes  $O(h)$  time.

In the work by H. -C. Chen, Y. -L. Wang, and Y. -  
5 F. Lan, "A memory-efficient and fast Huffman decoding algorithm," Information Processing Letters, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 119-122, February 1999 the data structure is further improved to reduce the memory requirements to  $[3n/2] + [(n/2) \log(n)] + 1$  with a corresponding  
10 complexity of  $O(\log n)$ .

Fast decoding and scanning through compressed data has become more and more important with the increased availability of compressed multimedia software in the consumer market. The cost in terms of time of Huffman  
15 decoding is linear with the size of the compressed data stream, but this cost estimate does not sufficiently take into account the time used to process and decode each and every compressed data bit.

Appreciable advantages in terms of speed can be  
20 achieved if groups of bits are processed simultaneously in the place of reading and testing each single bit.

Algorithms processing e.g. 8 bits (i.e. a byte) at a time rely on additional transition tables in order to "navigate" the decoding binary tree. However, the  
25 advantages achieved in terms of speed may be offset by heavy requirements in terms of memory space dictated by the need of storing the transition tables.

To speed up decoding, works such as A. Sieminski, "Fast decoding of the Huffman codes," Information  
30 Processing Letters, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 237-241, 1988 or R. Pajarola, "Fast Huffman Code Processing," University of California Irvine - Information & Computer Science Department Technical Report No. 99-43, October 1999 propose a decoder adapted to read and  
35 process compressed data by groups of bits using an

additional transition table to navigate the VLE decoding tree. For a fixed number ( $k$ ) of bits read at a time, a table with  $2^k$  entries, plus the values of the symbols decoded during the transition, is needed for each node. If  $k$  is small, decoding is slow because many nodes must be traversed to complete the decoding process; otherwise, if  $k$  becomes larger, then the necessary memory space becomes too huge, i.e.  $O(M \cdot 2^k)$  entries with  $M$  are the number of the nodes for each possible state of the decoding process.

While being a fast process (no searching of any kind is involved), the use of a table of size  $2^N$  is potentially quite wasteful in terms of memory space. For example, if there is a 1-bit codeword in the code, then half of the table entries will contain the same value.

The notionally fastest decoding algorithm known for VLE data is based on a lookup table instead of a transition graph or binary tree. While unquestionably being the fastest VLE decoding technique, lookup decoding ends up by becoming part of the "folklore" of computing: in fact, given a maximum codeword length of  $N$  bits, this approach needs a lookup table with  $2^N$  entries. This may be acceptable for short code-lengths, but becomes rapidly unfeasible as the maximum codeword length increases.

Solutions to these problems have been proposed, which however lead to increasing demands in terms of processing power and time. Essentially, these solutions use smaller lookup tables whose indexes are shorter than the longest possible VLE codeword. Short codewords are decoded with a single lookup step, but the others need slower, special processing. If the VLE codebook is selected properly, then longer codewords will occur more rarely in the bitstream than shorter codewords. As

a result, handling of such cases requiring the slow, special processing will occur infrequently.

In R. Hashemian, "Memory Efficient and High-Speed Search Huffman Coding," IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 2576-2581, October 1995 an arrangement is disclosed which reduces the memory space by using  $k$  bits at a time to index a set of tables each of  $2^k$  entries representing a cluster (subtree) of the Huffman tree. The cluster length is chosen to be equal to the maximum path length from the root of the cluster to a node within the cluster. A so-called super-tree (S-Tree) is constructed, where each cluster is represented by a node, and the links connecting these nodes represent the branching nodes in the Huffman tree.

In the lookup tables each entry indicates whether the codeword is a short code or a long code.

If the flag indicates that the codeword is a short code, i.e. its length is equal or shorter than  $k$ , the decoded symbol can be generated using the corresponding value and length field.

Otherwise, if the codeword is a long code, the entry records the position in the S-Tree where the description of the next lookup-table (cluster), cluster length ( $k$ ) and memory address, can be found. Subsequent tables should be indexed using the next  $k$  bits of the input stream.

In comparison with the  $2^N$  entry approach this,  $k$ -bit at a time technique reduces the storage space at the expense of slower decoding speed.

In the paper by A. Moffat and A. Turpin, "On the Implementation of Minimum Redundancy Prefix Codes," IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 1200-1207, October 1997 an efficient algorithm for Minimum Redundancy Prefix Coding (also known as Huffman

coding) is presented wherein coding is divorced from a code tree. Specifically, a modified decoding method is disclosed that allows improved decoding speed, requiring just a few machine operations per output symbol (rather than for each decoded bit) and uses yet only a small amount of auxiliary memory. After the construction of a Huffman code, starting from the source alphabet, only the codeword length information is preserved. Codeword lengths are used to derive a "canonical" minimum redundancy code that has the numeric sequence property: all codewords of a given length are consecutive binary integers.

Such a canonical code can be decoded using a memory-compact and fast algorithm. Codewords are decoded using a lookup table whose indexes are shorter (k-bit long) than the longest possible minimum redundancy code (N-bit long). After the first lookup, which is performed in the manner suggested in the Hashemian article, in the case of a long codeword the decoded signal is generated adding to a base value the number corresponding to the additional bits to be read from the bitstream to complete the codeword (because of the numeric sequence property). Such a code, however, is no longer a "Huffman" code.

US-A-5 589 829 introduces a simplified technique operating on canonical variable-length codes only where long codewords can be generated. This is done by using the code value bits corresponding to the short prefix and one or more additional bits read from the bitstream to complete the VLE codeword. Unfortunately, the Huffman tables used in video and image compression applications are standardized and most of them are not canonical, thus the decoding algorithm proposed for canonical variable-length codes is not directly applicable to this scenario.

In US-A-5 646 618 an arrangement is disclosed for decoding one or more variable-length encoded signals using a single table lookup. An additional table must be used to decode longer codewords. These longer  
5 codewords need a special processing that is inefficient and slows down the decoding process. The process involves retrieving a number of bits equal to the longest possible VLE codeword, and then those bits are used as an index into a lookup table that maps to the  
10 decoded value.

Also, the paper by M. Aggarwal and A. Narayan, "Efficient Huffman Decoding," Proc. ICIP, vol. 1, pp. 936-939, 2000 proposes a solution adapted to transform the decoding tables for general Huffman codes in such a  
15 way that they possess the structure of canonical minimum redundancy codes. This transformation ensures that codewords in the modified decoding table are equivalent to the original set and does not require any modifications at the encoding end. In that way, general  
20 Huffman codes can be decoded using the simple decoding procedures applicable for canonical codes. However, a certain degree of redundancy is introduced in the decoding thus derived in that for each symbol there exist more than one corresponding codeword.

#### 25 Objects and summary of the invention

The need therefore exists for a general solution to the VLE decoding problem which requires only a small amount of calculations per codeword, that amount being independent from the number of codewords  $n$ , or the  
30 height of the Huffman tree  $h$ .

In particular, in the Advanced Audio Coding standard (MPEG-AAC), a codeword is provided with one or more sign bits in order to represent two or more symbols. In the decoding process, the interpretation of  
35 the sign bit(s) slows down the decoding process.

The object of the invention is thus to provide such an arrangement that overcomes the drawbacks of the prior art arrangements as outlined in the foregoing.

According to the invention, such an object is  
5 achieved by means of a method having the features set forth in the claims that follow. The invention also relates to a corresponding system and a computer program product loadable in the memory of a computer and including software code portions for performing the  
10 method of the invention when the product is run on a computer.

Essentially, the arrangement disclosed herein is adapted for decoding variable-length encoded signals including codewords from a codebook, the codewords  
15 having associated respective sets of sign bits. These sets typically include an empty set associated to the all-zero codeword. A signed decoding codebook is provided, typically in the form of data items in a memory. The signed decoding codebook includes extended  
20 signed codewords, each extended codeword including a respective codeword in said codebook plus the associated sign bit set. The variable-length encoded codewords are decoded by means of the signed decoding codebook, whereby the codewords are decoded together  
25 with the sign bit set associated therewith.

Preferably, a threshold value is defined for the length of said codewords, that are thus partitioned in short and long codewords, respectively. At least the short codewords are decoded by means of a lookup  
30 process against a respective lookup table whose entries are selected to correspond to the extended codewords in the signed decoding codebook. The long codewords are preferably decoded by means of a multi-step lookup process including a first lookup step to a first entry  
35 in a first lookup table to retrieve an offset value,

and at least one second lookup step to at least one second entry in at least one second lookup table, the said second entry being identified by means of said offset value. Preferably the first and second lookup  
5 tables are nested lookup tables in a container table.

In a preferred embodiment, the invention is intended to define a fast algorithm, based on a multiple lookup (sub)table approach for decoding VLE codes. Heavy memory requirements are avoided by  
10 splitting the lookup table in sub-tables and fast decoding is achieved by simultaneously processing groups of bits

Such an arrangement overcomes the drawbacks of the prior art discussed in the foregoing by resorting to a recursive multiple lookup sub-table approach. It can be  
15 applied to extended VLE codes where a codeword represents more than one source symbol.

The arrangement described herein is based on "nested" lookup-tables, thus demonstrating that no need  
20 exists of storing tree information in a separate table. In fact, the concept of super-tree or S-Tree discussed in the foregoing uses a higher level of indirection with respect to the arrangement disclosed herein, where the next lookup-table information is just present in  
25 each of the symbols decoding lookup table. This solution speeds up decoding while avoiding any additional request for accessing address space.

A preferred embodiment the invention is applied to fast decoding of VLE codes with signed and unsigned  
30 codebooks. Unsigned codebooks symbols are coded as absolute values and a set of one or more sign bits is appended to the codeword. This occurs only in the case of non zero values (i.e. an empty set of sign bits is appended to all-zero values).

Common decoding techniques stop fast VLE decoding in the presence of non-zero values in order to test the sign bits, which slows down the overall process.

The arrangement disclosed herein employs an  
5 extended decoding lookup table where unsigned codewords are merged with possible signs in order to avoid stopping fast decoding to test every single sign bit.

Preferably, a variable-length encoded codeword comprising up to N bits is transformed into a decoded  
10 symbol by reading k bits from a bitstream comprising the variable length encoded codeword, where k is less than N. A lookup table is accessed using the k bits from the bitstream as an index to retrieve a table entry. The table entry comprises:

- 15 - a field indicating whether the k bits contain a complete codeword that can be decoded or how many bits need to be read to complete the codeword;
- if the codeword is complete, a field with the codeword-length, otherwise a field with the offset of  
20 the next lookup table; and
- a field with the codeword-values.

If the VLE codeword is a short codeword, then the decoded symbol is generated using the codeword-value entries, where the codeword-length field indicates the  
25 length of the variable length encoded codeword.

If the VLE codeword is a long codeword, then more bits have to be read and the decoded symbol is searched recursively in the next lookup table, jumping to the referred offset, using the additional read bits as a  
30 lookup index.

The process ends when a complete codeword to be decoded is found.

This approach is based on the use of lookup tables instead of transition graphs or binary trees: N bits  
35 (where N is the maximum VLE codeword length) are read

from the bitstream based on the current value of a bitstream pointer. The N bits are then used as an index to a lookup table. The lookup table has an entry for each possible N-bit value. Each table entry indicates a  
5 respective decoded symbol value and bit-length in order to update accordingly the bitstream pointer.

This approach further reduces the amount of memory needed to perform the lookup action because several smaller tables are used instead of a huge  $2^N$  lookup  
10 table. A trade-off between processing time and memory waste can thus be reached by varying the number of bits read before each table lookup. If k is equal to N then a large table is used and only a single lookup is needed; conversely, if k is equal or proximate to N/2,  
15 two lookups are required but the used memory is reduced to less than  $2^{(N/2)+1}$  entries.

Specifically, when the most frequent symbol of an input alphabet is zero, the symbols are usually coded as absolute values and a sign is appended to the  
20 codeword only in the case of non zero values to save storage space.

Common decoding techniques decode the VLE code and then, if non zero, test for the sign bit: 0 represents a plus sign, 1 a negative value. The arrangement  
25 disclosed herein "skips" this test that in fact stops the VLE fast decoding flow in order to test a single bit each time. Insofar as decoding is concerned, the sign bit is included in the corresponding codeword to build up a so-called signed lookup table (before  
30 starting the decoding process) that decodes both the values and the sign(s) associated therewith and generates signed codeword-values.

In comparison with prior art solutions (as described e.g. in the article by M. Aggarwal et al.  
35 cited in the foregoing), the arrangement disclosed

herein is applied in its preferred embodiment to non-canonical Huffman codes, relying on the multiple table lookup approach already presented in the Hashemian paper referred to in the foregoing. Encoded symbols can  
5 then be decoded with two or more cascading lookup tables and the symbol translations are duplicated only for those entries that start with the same symbol prefix.

The suggested inclusion of the sign bit when  
10 decoding unsigned extended VLE codes, where each codeword represents more than one source symbol, avoids having to stop decoding when a sign bit is to be tested for non zero-valued symbols.

The invention is particularly adapted to be  
15 applied to an extended VLE code as used e.g. in the MPEG Advance Audio Coding (AAC) Standard where, instead of generating a codeword for each symbol, codewords are generated representing two or four symbols.

#### Brief description of the drawings

20 The invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the annexed figures of drawing, wherein:

- figure 1 is a general block diagram of a decoder for decoding variable-length-encoded (VLE) data, and
- 25 - figure 2 is a flowchart of a process adapted to be carried out in the decoder of figure 1.

#### Detailed description of preferred embodiments of the invention

The arrangement disclosed herein is essentially in  
30 the form of a decoder 10 adapted to receive an input signal IS comprised of a variable-length-encoded (e.g. Huffman) digital data stream. As a result of the decoding process carried out therein, the decoder 10 produces an output, decoded data stream OS.

The decoder 10 may be included as a building block in a more complex processing system (not shown) such as a decoder for e.g. MPEG audio and video signals: the general layout and principles of operation of such a audio/video decoder are well known in the art, thus making it unnecessary to provide a more detailed description herein.

Similarly known, and thus not requiring to be described here in detail, are the criteria adopted for producing the encoded input signal IS. Essentially, VLE algorithms use a table of the frequencies of occurrence of each possible input symbol to build up an optimal way of representing each symbol as a variable-length binary string, called a codeword, with a unique prefix. In an optimum code, symbols that occur more frequently (i.e. have a higher probability of occurrence) are allotted shorter codewords in comparison with symbols that occur less frequently.

The input signal IS may be generated on the basis of Huffman codebooks designed for multimedia contents where a codeword represents more than one signed source symbol.

Since the most frequent symbol of the alphabet is zero, to save storage space, media contents usually presents a sequence of codewords followed by sign bits only if the symbols coded are different from zero.

Essentially, the decoder 10 includes a processing unit 12 that generates an output decoded data stream OS as a result of interaction with memory block 14. For reasons to become clearer in the following, the memory block 14 essentially acts as a container CNR for an assembly of nested lookup tables LUT1, and LUT2.

Those of skill in the art will appreciate that the decoder, and specifically the processing unit 12, may be implemented both in the form of a dedicated

processor or as a general purpose computer programmed by means of a suitable computer program product loaded in the computer memory. Also, the decoder 10 may represent either a stand-alone assembly or a portion of  
5 a larger unit such as the audio/video MPEG decoder referred to in the foregoing.

Implementing the decoder 10 in any of the forms considered in the foregoing represents a task within the common ability of the person skilled in the art having  
10 read the detailed description provided herein.

A basic purpose of the decoder 10 described herein is to avoid having to stop the decoding process to test every sign bit, after decoding the codewords. In fact, as shown in figure 1, the sign bits can be  
15 regarded as an additional field SB appended at the end of the corresponding codeword CW.

Starting from existing codebooks, it is possible to generate new codebooks with extended codewords (including the sign bits) that maintain unchanged the  
20 same probability distribution of the original codewords.

Let  $N$  be the number of bits in the largest (i.e. longest) codeword, without signed extension. If the codebook is properly generated, then shorter codewords  
25 are more frequent than longer codewords.

A value  $k$  may then be considered as an index adapted to distinguish "short" codewords from "long" codewords. Experiments performed by the Applicant show that an advantageous (yet not mandatory) choice for  $k$   
30 is in the vicinity of  $N/2$ , e.g.  $N/2$  plus the maximum number of sign bits added to the codewords. A lookup table may thus be accessed using each  $k$  bits from the input bit stream IS as an index to retrieve a table entry.

Then every codeword that has length less or equal to  $k$  (i.e. any "short" codeword) can be decoded in one step by using a first lookup table LUT1 in the "container" CNR. Long codewords, i.e. those having  
5 lengths greater than  $k$  bits, can be analyzed using  $n-k$  bits (where  $n$  is less or equal to  $N$ ) as index in an least one further lookup table LUT2 in the container CNR.

The lookup tables LUT1, LUT2 being arranged in one  
10 single container table, hierarchically nested, avoids memory space being wasted.

The first entries of the container table coincide with the entries of the first lookup table LUT1, thus making it possible to treat the container table CNR as  
15 a simple lookup table. The other lookup table(s) - designated LUT2 as a whole - are reachable by means of an offset filed in the "parent" table.

Each entry of the lookup table may be configured to present the following field arrangement:

- 20 - number of bits to be read to complete the codeword; if the entry identifies a short codeword this field is equal to zero;
- codeword length if short codeword or long codeword whose decoding has been completed; otherwise the offset  
25 of the next lookup table; and
- the decoded symbols, if short codeword or long codeword whose decoding has been completed i.e. the completely decoded symbols

The lookup table offset field defines the number of  
30 entry lines from the current position the decoding process needs to "jump" in order to complete the analysis of the current long codewords.

To explain in greater detail this decoding concept the Huffman table shown in Table 1 can be taken as an  
35 example where every encoded codeword identifies two

signed symbols (x, y). Specifically, in the table the field "length" indicates the number of bits in the adjacent codeword.

x	y	Length	Codeword
0	0	1	1
0	1	3	010
0	2	6	000001
1	0	3	011
1	1	3	001
1	2	5	00001
2	0	5	00011
2	1	5	00010
2	2	6	000000

5

Table 1

In order to avoid stopping the decoding flow to test the coded symbol sign, the decoder 10 described herein operates on the basis of a new, modified Huffman table created by appending at the end of the corresponding codeword the necessary number of bit sign. Such a modified, signed Huffman tabel is shown in Table 2, where the field "length" again designates the number of bits in the adjacent codeword. In this case, however, the codewords are extended, signed codewords (designated CW' in figure 1) that also include the sign bits SB appended thereto.

No such bits (i.e an empty set of such bits) are obviously provided for the codeword "1" that represents the sign-less couple of values "0, 0".

25

x	y	Length	Codeword
0	0	1	1
0	1	3+1	010s <sub>x</sub>
0	2	6+1	000001s <sub>y</sub>
1	0	3+1	011s <sub>x</sub>
1	1	3+2	001s <sub>x</sub> s <sub>y</sub>
1	2	5+2	00001s <sub>x</sub> s <sub>y</sub>
2	0	5+1	00011s <sub>x</sub>
2	1	5+2	00010s <sub>x</sub> s <sub>y</sub>
2	2	6+2	000000s <sub>x</sub> s <sub>y</sub>

Table 2

5        The largest codeword of the original codebook includes 6 bits; therefore the value k used as index of the first lookup table can be equal to 5 ( $N/2 + 2$ , where 2 is the maximum number of sign bit added to the codewords).

10       In order to deal with the extended codebook shown in Table 2 the container table CNR includes two nested lookup tables LUT 1, LUT2 as shown in Table 3.

15       In the example considered, the container table CNR has 50 (fifty) entries, and four of the most frequent symbols (i.e. entries with index from 4 to 31, that in fact constitute the first lookup table LUT1) are quickly decoded in one step only - including the sign bit(s) associated therewith.

20       In this example, symbols that have an original codeword length equal to 3, can be decoded in only one step. Decoding of these shorter codewords is thus performed as a "straight-through" process without any breaks between decoding the symbols and interpreting the sign bits.

Index	Codeword	Further bit	Length/ Offset	Symbol	
				X	Y
0	00000	3	31	-	-
1	00001	2	39	-	-
2	00010	2	42	-	-
3	00011	1	45	-	-
4	00100	0	5	1	1
5	00101	0	5	1	-1
6	00110	0	5	-1	1
7	00111	0	5	-1	-1
8	01000	0	4	0	1
9	01001	0	4	0	1
10	01010	0	4	0	-1
11	01011	0	4	0	-1
12	01100	0	4	1	0
13	01101	0	4	1	0
14	01110	0	4	-1	0
15	01111	0	4	-1	0
16	10000	0	1	0	0

...

31	11111	0	1	0	0
32	000	0	8	2	2
33	001	0	8	2	-2
34	010	0	8	-2	2
35	011	0	8	-2	-2
36	100	0	7	0	2
37	101	0	7	0	2
38	110	0	7	0	-2
39	111	0	7	0	-2
40	00	0	7	1	2
41	01	0	7	1	-2
42	10	0	7	-1	2
43	11	0	7	-1	-2

44	00	0	7	2	1
45	01	0	7	2	-1
46	10	0	7	-2	1
47	11	0	7	-2	-1
48	0	0	6	2	0
49	1	0	6	-2	0

Table 3

Of course, the same extended codebook could be  
 5 used to decode directly all codewords, building only  
 one lookup table with  $2^N$  entries. This would however  
 lead to heavy memory requirements and, generally, an  
 inefficient memory usage.

As an alternative to such a basic lookup decoding  
 10 technique, decoding process proposed in the article by  
 Hashemian repeatedly referred to in the foregoing could  
 be resorted to. This would lead to generating a super-  
 tree with two clusters, that use two lookup tables each  
 of  $2^k$  entries, with  $k$  - in the present example - equal  
 15 to 5. Such an arrangement would employ 64 (sixty-four)  
 entries.

With the decoder arrangement described herein,  
 based on the container table CNR, only 50 (fifty)  
 entries are required for decoding the same codebook.  
 20 This is a very satisfactory result and, additionally,  
 is adapted to be implemented easily from the  
 computational viewpoint. This occurs in the processing  
 unit 12 as now described in connection with the  
 flowchart of figure 2.

25 After a "start" step 100, in a step 102 a pointer  
 is generated pointing to the start of the bitstream IS  
 to be decoded. Reference is then made to the container  
 table CNR of nested lookup tables LUT1, LUT2 with three

fields for each entry (number of bits, length, value) as further described below.

In a step 104 a constant bitEntry is used to define the index bit number of the first lookup table  
5 LUT1 in the container table CNR.

In a step 106 a function GetBit retrieves n bits from the bitstream IS. Specifically, the function GetBit reads n bits from the input bitstream IS, where n coincides with the number of bits in the longest  
10 codeword in the specified codebook.

In a step 108 the codeword obtained is shifted to match the right length of the entry table index and the iteration loop continues until the codeword is completely decoded.

15 Specifically in a step 110 a check is made as to whether the codeword is completely decoded, which yields a positive result in the case of all "short" words in the codebook.

If the outcome of the check in the step 110 is  
20 negative, which is indicative of a "long" codeword having to be decoded, the system evolves back to step 102. At each iteration of the loop, in a step 112 the shift variable is decreased accordingly to the remaining number of bits that are still to be read, and  
25 the index is calculated in a step 114 by adding to the table offset field the shifted part of codeword.

If the codeword is short or the (long) codeword is finally completely decoded, the step 110 yields a positive result and the computed index identifies the  
30 value of the corresponding codeword that has been read from the bitstream.

This is issued in a step 116 as a corresponding decoded output bitstream OS, and in a step 118 a function FlushBit discards n bits from the bitstream,

changing the current position of the read pointer to the bitstream.

Reference 120 designates a final step in the decoding process.

5 In the following a pseudo-code implementation of the process just described is reproduced.

```
While (B < pEndBitStream) {  
  HuffmanDecode()  
}  
  
HuffmanDecode ()  
{  
  codeword = GetBit(B, n)  
  shift = n - bitEntry  
  index = codeword >> shift  
  while (Table[index].nbit) {  
    shift -= Table[index].nbit  
    index += Table[index].len + ((codeword >> shift) &  
      ((1 << Table[index].nbit) - 1))  
  }  
  symbol = Table[index].value  
  FlushBit(B, Table[index].len)  
}
```

The simplicity of the arrangement just described  
10 can be illustrated with an example.

Consider the input bitstream IS 0101000100101100,  
which corresponds to the three couples of symbols (0; -  
1), (2; -1) and (1; 0).

The three basic process steps described in the foregoing and the values of the intermediate variables are shown in Table 4.

step/iter.	IS	shift	Index	Nbit	Len	Codeword	x	y
1 / 1	01010001	3	10	0	4	0101	0	- 1
2 / 1	00010010	3	2	2	42	-	-	-
2 / 2	010	1	45	0	7	0001001	2	- 1
3 / 1	01100xxx	3	12	0	4	0110	1	0

5

Table 4

It will be appreciated that the computations required are in fact four memory accesses, three  
 10 iterations of the bitstream operations (GetBit, FlushBit), and at most two shift operations per codeword.

Of course, without prejudice to the underlying principles of the invention, the details and  
 15 embodiments may vary, even significantly, with respect to what has been described by way of example only, without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the claims that follow.